

joint responsibility. In one breath they say this thing and in another they do something else.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member must be brief in raising a point of order.

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—How is it possible? Should I not make out a case whether there is a point of order or not?

MR. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member wants to raise a point of order, he must state it clearly. From what all he has said, it is seen that the Chief Minister is not present, and if he is not present some other Minister may move it. If that is all he has to say.....

SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it the Revenue Minister that has to move this Bill?

MR. SPEAKER.—So far as the introduction of a Bill is concerned, any Minister can do it and there is no point of order in that.

SRI B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—It is already known that Sri M. V. Krishnappa is not here. Even then the Agenda which is printed on the 26th March contains the name of Sri M. V. Krishnappa.

MR. SPEAKER.—An experienced Parliamentarian like Sri Hutche Gowda should not raise that kind of point of order. He knows that when it was prepared, it was not known whether he would be there or he was expected to be there. If he is not here, rules do not prevent any other Member from carrying on the business. I said that the Chief Minister had written to me to say that this business would be attended to by Sri Veerendra Patil, Minister for Public Works. On this score, there should be no more observation.

Introduction of Bills

SRI R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—Mr. Speaker, I beg to introduce the Mysore Additional Deputy Commissioners (Appointment and Powers) Bill, 1962.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

SRI R. M. PATIL.—I beg to introduce the Mysore Preservation of Private Forests Bill, 1962.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works and Transport).—I beg to introduce the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Bill is introduced.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1962.

Motion to consider.

SRI VEERENDRA PATIL.—I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962, be taken into consideration”.

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962, be taken into consideration”.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಗೌಡ (ಚೌಡೆರೆ).—ನಾನು ಈ ಮನೋದೇಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಕೂಪು ನಿವಾರಣೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಅಭಾವ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಾದ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರ ಇದು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯರಾಖಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನೊಂದಿಗೆ ವಿಧಾನಾರ್ಥಿ. ಅದರೆ ಈ ಬಾಬನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಂಗಾರಿಗಳು ತ್ಯಾಪಿಕರಂತಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಇರುವ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿರೂ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಕೂಲಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಗ್ತರೆ ಹಣ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಬದಲನ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ವಾರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಲ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ಜನಾಭಿಪೂರ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಈಗ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ದನ್ನು ಸೋಧಿಸಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಥ ತಿಂಗಳು ಗಳಾದರೂ ಕೂಲಕೊಡಿರುವ ನಂದಭರ್ಗಾಳು ಅನೇಕ ವಾಗಿ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರೂ ಸಹ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಗತ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈಗ ಕೂಪು ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇರುವ ಜಿತ್ರದುಗಳ ಜೀರ್ಣಯುಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆ ಕುಡಿಯುವ ಸೀರಿನ ಅಭಾವಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನಿಗಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನೂ ಸಹ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದು ಏಷಾದಕರವಾದ ಸಂಗಠಿತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಬದಲ್ಕೆತಿಗೆ ಕಾಳ್ಜಿ, ಹುಲ್ಲು ಸಿಕ್ಕುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಒಂದು ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಮುಳುಗನಾಲ್ಕು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಇದೆ. ಹೊದರಂತು ಮತ್ತು ಅಚ್ಚ ವರುಪ ಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ಸಸ್ಯೇಂದ್ರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಅದೇ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಕೈಗೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅನೇಕ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮ

*Asterisk indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(ಶ್ರೀ ಬ. ಎಲ್. ಗೌಡ)

ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ತಿ ಮತ್ತು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಬೇರೆದ್ರಿಂದ ನವರೂ
ಮನವಿಗಳನ್ನು, ಸಿಂಭಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದ ರೂ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು
ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿರುವುದು ಬಿಹಿ ಈ ಶೋಷನೀಯ
ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಗಲಾದರೂ ಈ ಕಂಡಾಯ ಮಾಫಿ
ಮಾಡಲು ನೂಕ್ತಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಜಾಗೃತ್ತಿ
ಅಡರು ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒತ್ತಾಯ
ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಕ್ರಾಮ ಕೆಲಪು ಕಡೆ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ
ಬಿರುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತೇ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹಿಂದೆ ಏಧಾನ
ಪರಿಷತ್ತನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ಏಂತರು ನಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗಿ
ಮಾಡಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಖಾಯಿಂ
ದಿಂಜ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಆಶ್ಚರ್ಯನ್ನೆ
ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ದಿವಸ ಅವರು ಇಲ್ಲ ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.
ಆ ಏಧಾಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು
ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಶೋಷನೀಯ.
ಆ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ಪದೇ ಪದೇ ಬರುವ ಕ್ರಾಮ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ
ಯನ್ನು ಹೇಗೆ ಗಾಡಿಪುಡಿಕೆ ವಾರ್ಷೀಕ ವಾರ್ಷೀಕ ಹಣ
ಖರ್ಚ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಡಲಾಗಿ ಖಾಯಿಂ ಘ್ಯವನ್ನೆ
ಮಾಡುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಯೋಗ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—According to the Rules of Procedure, the Appropriation Bill ought to have been taken up after the grants have been voted by the Assembly.

Mr. S P E A K E R.—The Hon'ble Member evidently has not read this Appropriation Bill. It relates to the Supplementary Demands which have been voted.

ದಿಮ್ಮಾಂಡ್ ನಂಬಿರ್ 20 ವಿದ್ಯಾಭಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯಿರಿಗಾಗಿ ಚೈಕ್ರಸಿನಿಗ್ ಕಾರೇಜುಗಳನ್ನು
ತರೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ನಾವಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಾಯ
ಯರು ಬಹು ಬದವರು, ಅಲ್ಲ ವೇತನ ಪಡೆಯು
ತಾರೆ. ಅಂದವರನ್ನು ಚಿತ್ರಿಸುಗ್ಗರಿಂದ ಬೇಗಾಂ
ಮುಂತಾದ ದೂರದ ಕಡೆಗ್ಗಿಗೆ ಚೈಕ್ರಸಿನಿಗಿರಾಗಿ
ಕಳಿಸಿದರೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವ ಪದರಿಂದ ಹತ್ತಿರಿರುವ
ನೀಳಗಳಿಗೆ ಕಾಬೆಕ್ಕೆಂದು ಸೂಕ್ಷನೆತ್ತೀನೇ. ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿ
ವೇತನಕಾಪ್ಪಿ ಸಿದ್ಗಿನುವ ಹಳ ಬಹು ಕಷಪ್ಯೇ
ಆ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಸಮಿತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮಾನ್ಯ
ಮಿತ್ರರಿಗೆ ಈ ಅನುಭವಿರಬಹುದು. ಒಂದುನಾಲ್ಕರ
ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಂದ ಇದು ಮಂದಿಗೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿವೇತನ
ವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಭಾವಂತರಿಗೂ,
ಬದವರಿಗೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಹಳ ನಾಕಾಗು
ವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ ಹಳ ಬದಗಿನಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ ಅವಕಾಶ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವುದು ಅವಶ್ಯಕ.

ବହକ ମୁଁପାଇ ହରିଜନର ଅଭ୍ୟାସିଗାନ୍ତ ମାନେଗଳ ବାଗୀ ଯେବେଳେ ମାଦିରୁପଦୁ ନମଶ୍କରଣ କାହାରେ ନାହିଁ କୌଦୁ ତ୍ରିଦୂରୁ ହୋଇଥରୁପ ତ ବାତେଯ ନଷିପରୁ ହେଲାନ ନମୁଖରେ ମାନେଗଳନ୍ତ ନଷକାର ନମଥିଗଲ ମୁଲକ କଷିପିଲିକୋଦୁପଦୁରାଗି ହେଲିଦୂରୁ ଆଦରେ ଆ କଲନ ମାଦିଲ୍ଲ ନମବିନଦପଛିପରୁ ତ ବାଗୀ ଯୋଇକାନେ ମାଦିରୁପଦୁ ବହକ ଶୋଇଜନୀଏୟ ଜଳକାଶୀ ଚୈକାଧପୁଷ୍ଟ ହଇ କେଇନ୍ଦ୍ରିଦିନ ବିନଦିଦ୍ଵରୀ ନଷ ଅଧି ଶାଖମୋର୍ଗପାଇଲା ଆଗରାଦରୂ ନମବିନଦପଛିପରୁ ନଷିପରୁ ନିଗା ଜୁଣ୍ଡି ଜାଗର୍ତ୍ତ ମନେଗଳନ୍ତେ ଦିନନିଲୁ ଯେବେଳେ ମାଦ ଚୈକେନିମୁ ବତାୟ ମାଦୁ କେଇନ୍ଦ୍ରି ଅଳ୍ପଦେ, ତାପୁ ହି ଜ ନ ରିଗେ କେ ଗା ରି କେ ଗେ ନ ହା ଯୁ ମାଦଚୈକେନିଦ୍ଵରୀପିନିମୁ ହେଲାନ୍ତିରି ଶାଦାହେ ରଣ୍ଗୀ ଚତୁର୍ବିକରେ ବିନଦୁ ତାପୁନକନ୍ତେ ତେଗେନୁ କୋଠିଦରେ ଅଳ୍ପନ ପ୍ରଦା ନମବି ବିନଦୁ ଏରଦୁ ଲକ୍ଷ ଲରୁପଲ୍ଲ, ଅଂଧା ତାଲ୍ଲିକାଗି ତାପୁ ତୋରିକା ଆଗି ବିନଦୁ ସାପର ରାପାଯିନ ଗାୟାନ୍ତେ କୋଳିରେ ଏବନୁ ପ୍ରଯୋଜନ ? ଯାବ ରିତି ଅଦନ୍ତ ହଂଙ୍ଗେ ପଦୁ ? ହେଲାନାରେ ଆ ହରିଜନର ପୁରୋଭିଦ୍ଵାଦ୍ଵା କେଗେ ତାନେ ଆଦିତୁ ? ଇନ୍ଦ୍ର ମେଲେ ଇନ୍ଦ୍ର କଣ୍ଠୀଷ୍ଟ ରେନୁପ ମନୋଭାବପାନ୍ତୁ ବିଟ୍ଟି ଏଜବାଗିଯାନ ଏଲାରିଗୁ ଅନୁକୋଲପାଗାପ ହାଗେ ଏପାଦିନ ମାଦଚୈକେନିମୁ ହେଲାନ୍ତିନେ.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, I was expecting that there would not be any discussion on this Appropriation Bill. Usually, no discussion takes place on the Appropriation Bill because Hon'ble Members have got ample opportunity to express their views after the Supplementary Estimates are placed before this House. All the Demands have been voted by this august House and many of the members have expressed their views. Some doubts expressed by the Hon'ble Members were clarified by the Hon'ble Chief Minister and other Ministers. As soon as all the Demands are voted by this august House, I do not feel that

there is any need for discussion. However, I want to assure this House that we will bear in mind some of the suggestions made by the Hon'ble Member who spoke just now and we will try our best to give adequate relief to the famine-stricken areas. I would, therefore, request the House to accept this Bill.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA (Hadagali).—Sir, I oppose the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There appears to be a slight misapprehension. The Hon'ble Minister thought that there would be no speeches and no criticism because the Demands have been voted upon. So, the Hon'ble Minister delivered his speech, participating in the debate. The correct position is that there is no scope for debate at all legally, but as provided in Rule 174 (4) the debate on an Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied in the grants covered by the Bill which have not been raised while the relative Demands for Grants were under consideration. I did not know what the Hon'ble Member was going to say. I was about to say that he should not go into these matters.

Sri B. L. GOWDA.—There is no repetition in my speech.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Anyhow, the Hon'ble Minister is also not fully right when he said that there was no scope at all for debate.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I did not say that there was no scope at all for debate. What I said was that no discussion took place on the Appropriation Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would only mention that as soon as this Bill is passed, the whole field will be thrown open again when they have an opportunity to discuss the Vote on Account, the Budget for 1962-63 and the Motion of Thanks to the Governor's Address. So, instead of entering into a controversy, I would appeal to all members, now that the Minister has replied, to pass the Bill and take the opportunity to say whatever they want with advantage when we take up the Vote on Account.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—Sir, my objection is that the Bill itself is premature. Sanction has been

accorded for spending certain amount, The Hon'ble Minister could have chosen to place this Bill before the House after the amount sanctioned by this House is entirely spent. Otherwise, this House will not have the opportunity to know what has been done with regard to the amount sanctioned.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry, the Hon'ble Member is in slight error. As soon as a Supplementary Demand or a Demand is passed, unless an Appropriation Bill is passed, the amount cannot be spent. So, there is no question of bringing in the Appropriation Bill after the amounts are spent.

An HON'BLE MEMBER.—May I draw the attention of the Chair to Rule 73(3) which says that at the consideration stage the principle of the Bill and its general provision may be discussed but not the details?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member may refer to Rule 174 which specially provides for matters relating to an Appropriation Bill. So, he will see that we are going on right lines.

The question is :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962 be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That clauses 2 and 3, and the Schedule stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3, and the Schedule, were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That clause 1, the Preamble and the Title stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Preamble and the Title were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works and Transport).—Sir, I beg to move :

“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962 be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :
“That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1962 be passed.”

The motion was adopted

VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS IN ADVANCE (VOTE ON ACCOUNT) FOR THE YEAR 1962-63.

DEMAND No. 1.—TAXES ON INCOME OTHER THAN CORPORATION TAX

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor of Mysore, I beg to move :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 83,000 be granted in advance to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the part of the financial year for the period ending 31st day of July 1962, in respect of ‘Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax’.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That a sum not exceeding Rs. 83,000 be granted in advance to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the part of the financial year for the period ending 31st day of July 1962, in respect of ‘Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax’.”

1-30 P.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This motion as well as the other Demands, i.e., Demands Nos. 2 to 54 are before the House.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—We have not got copies of the Demands.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They have been circulated.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—No, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall verify.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಕಾಗೆನ್ನು ಮಾಡೋಣ ಹೇಳಿ? ನಾವು ಯಾವ ದಿವಸ್ಯಾಂದೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಬೇಕೋ ಯಾವುದೂ ಗೊತ್ತಲ್ಲ. ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇಂತಹ ಪಟ್ಟಕ್ಕೂಲರ್ ದಿವಸ್ಯಾಂದೆ ಹೇಳಿ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ತಾನೇ, ನಾವು ಮಾತನಾಡಲು ಅನುಕೂಲ? ಅದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆಯೇ ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದು?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I very much appreciate the point that has been raised and for the inconvenience caused to the members I am really not happy about it, but I should say one thing. The Hon'ble Member is not right in accusing the Government for this reason. It is not the duty of the Government to distribute papers to members, but it is the duty of the Legislature Secretariat to attend to it and that is practically my duty in seeing to it. I shall certainly see that all papers are supplied to members without any avoidable delay and as sufficiently early as possible.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ (ಹಾಡ್ಲಿ ರೆ).—ನಭಾದ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ನಮಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಪಾರ್ಫನ್. ಈ ನಭಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಪತ್ರಕ್, ಅಧಿವೇಜನ ಮುರುಖಾದ ಏವನದಿಂದ ಈ ಏರೋಧ ಗುಂಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತರಕ್ಕ ನಮಗೆ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮು ಅನುಭವಕ್ಕಿಂದಿರುವ ಏಚಾರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ನಭಗೆ ನಂಬಿಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಯಾವುದೇ ಬಿಂದು ಕಾಫಿಗ್ಗಾಗಿ ಗಲ್ಲೇ, ನಕಾರಾದಿಂದ ಪಾಸಾದ ಕಾಫಿಯಿಂದ ಹಿಡಿದು. ಆಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮಂಡಿಸಿರತಕ್ಕ ಈ ಬಿಳಿನ ಕಾರಿನಹತ ಇದ್ದವರೆಗೂ ನಮಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೂ ಹಲಹಿಕೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮು ಏಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಕಾರಾದ ವರು ಈ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಭಾವನೆಯನ್ನಿಟ್ಟಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಇನ್ನಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕರ್ತಾಪ ಗಳಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ನಿಕಟ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಿತವಾದ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನೇ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲ ಪಾರ್ಫನ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If the Hon'ble Member feels that what he has got is something incomplete it would not be right because along with the Budget papers. The list of motions for demands for grants on account were also given to Hon'ble Members. It was not full and complete because their break up was not mentioned therein. The proper motions with those details have now been placed before the House, but all the necessary information to enable the members to participate in the debate had been furnished to the members at the time of the presentation of the Budget itself.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಶಿರನಾವಹಿನಿ ಒಷಪ್ಪುವುದಾದರೂ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಿರುವ ದಿಂಡಿರ್ಪಾ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ರಲ್ಲಿಯಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ break-up ಇದೆಯಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುಹುದು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If my friend does not choose to look into the papers I cannot possibly help. In the green